UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

V.

Criminal No. 19-00175 (NLH)

MIJUANA DANIELS

Defendant.

Memorandum Opinion and Order

APPEARANCES:

Mijuana Daniels 72438-050 United States Penitentiary-Hazelton P.O. BOX 2000 Bruceton Mill, WV 26565

Defendant appearing Pro Se

Jeffrey Brian Bender Office of the U.S. Attorney 401 Market Street 4th Floor Camden, NJ 08101

Martha Kathleen Nye DOJ-USAO 402 E. State Street Room 430 Trenton, NJ 08608

Representing Respondent United States of America

Hillman, District Judge

WHEREAS, on November 30, 2021, this Court sentenced

Defendant Mijuana Daniels to a term of sixty (60) months'

imprisonment, followed by three (3) years' supervised release

after Daniels pleaded guilty to one count of Felon in Possession

of a Firearm, 18 U.S.C. § 922(g)(1); and

WHEREAS, final judgment was formally entered on December 3, 2021 (ECF No. 47); and

WHEREAS, Daniels filed a Notice of Appeal on December 15, 2021 (ECF No. 49), a decision on which is still pending before the Third Circuit Court of Appeals; and

WHEREAS, on March 17, 2023, Daniels filed the instant Motion for Reduction of Sentence under 18 U.S.C. § 3582 (c)(1)(A) (ECF No. 55); and

WHEREAS, the Court notes that effective November 1, 2023 - after the Defendant had filed his motion and it was briefed by both parties - the United States Sentencing Commission issued a revised and broadened Policy Statement to guide sentencing courts in considering motions for compassionate release under 18 U.S.C. § 3582 (c)(1)(A); and

WHEREAS, upon Daniels' filing of a Notice of Appeal, this
Court was divested of jurisdiction to rule on his § 3582 Motion.

See United States v. Alston, Criminal Action No. 19-158, 2020

U.S. Dist. LEXIS 148084, at *4 (D.N.J. Aug. 17, 2020)

("Defendant's appeal deprives this Court of jurisdiction over any matter relating to his sentence, including the instant request for compassionate release.") (citing United States v.

Davis, 924 F.2d 501, 504 (3d Cir. 1991) (noting that a district court lacks jurisdiction to change a sentence after a notice of

appeal is filed).); and

WHEREAS, "[u]nder Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 37(a), this Court may therefore (1) defer consideration of Defendant's motion, (2) deny his motion, or (3) 'state either that it would grant the motion if the court of appeals remands for that purpose or that the motion raises a substantial issue.'" Id.;

THEREFORE, having considered the procedural posture of this matter in conjunction with the pending motion,

IT IS on this 26^{th} day of December, 2023,

ORDERED that within twenty (20) days of this Order, the parties shall SHOW CAUSE in writing as to why Defendant's Motion for Reduction of Sentence under 18 U.S.C. § 3582 (ECF No. 55) should not be denied without prejudice to refile upon conclusion of his appeal; it is further

ORDERED that a copy of this Order be sent to Defendant Daniels by regular mail to his address on the Docket.

At Camden, New Jersey /s/ Noel L. Hillman Noel L. Hillman, U.S.D.J.

In the event this Court finds cause to deny the instant Motion without prejudice and Daniels ultimately decides to refile upon conclusion of the appellate process, both he and the government shall address in their briefing the recently implemented Amendments to Policy Statement § 1B1.13 regarding compassionate relief noted above. See U.S. Sentencing Commission, "Adopted Amendments," Apr. 27, 2023, available at https://www.ussc.gov/guidelines/amendments/adopted-amendments-effective-november-1-2023.